

CITICAL ITEM LIST6
CITICAL ITEM LIST

PROJECT: EMU

SYSTEM: CCA

ASSEMBLY NOMENCLATURE: SUMMING MODULE

ASSEMBLY P/N: 166470

REVISION	NAME, QTY., DRAWING REF. DESIGN	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT ON END ITEM	ROUT/FUNC 2/2 CRITICALITY	RATIONAL FOR ACCEPTANCE
04-1	Summing Module	MODE: Fails to pass audio signals, loss of earphone functions, loss of microphone functions	Loss of communication	DESIGN FEATURES:	The summing module design with highly reliable parts. The earphone signals isolate from each other through resistor. The microphone signals are also isolate from each other. The module is hard potted.
04-2					
04-3	P/N 16647				
04-4	QTY - 1	CAUSE(S): EEE parts failure. Electrical wire failure (open or shorts).			

Critical Item List

PROJECT: EMU

SYSTEM: CCA

ASSEMBLY Nomenclature: SUMMING MODE

ASSEMBLY P/N: 166476

REVISION	NAME, QTY., DRAWING REF., DESIGN	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT ON END ITEM	HOW/FUNC 2/2 CRITICALITY	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
04-1				ACCEPTANCE TEST: The acceptance testing verified that all measurable performance characteristics meet the requirements of the end-item specifications. Environmental testing was not a part of the acceptance testing.	
04-2					Acceptance testing was performed on the end-item (CCEM).
04-3					
04-4					

ITEM LIST

PROJECT: EMU

ASSEMBLY Nomenclature: SUMMING MODULE

ASSEMBLY P/N: 166476

REVISION	NAME, QTY., DRAWING REF. DESIGN	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT ON END ITEM	HOMI/FUNC 2/2 CRITICALITY RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
04-1				QUALIFICATION TESTS: The CCER was subjected to a qualification test to demonstrate its capability to perform in or after being exposed to the environment. It is required to operate as specified in NAS9-13132, Exhibit C, Paragraph 5.16.2.1.2. The qualification testing consists of the following tests:
04-2				MILITARY: MIL-STD-810, Method 507, Procedure I, was conducted, except that the minimum temperature was 68 degrees F, and maximum temperature was 120 degrees F.
04-3				SHOCK: MIL-STD-810, Method 516, Procedures I and IV - Procedure I was 20g's for 11 milliseconds, and Procedure IV was 53g's for 10 milliseconds.
04-4				ATMOSPHERIC COMPATIBILITY: The CCER was operated in an atmosphere of 100% oxygen at a continuous pressure of 6.2 psia for 24 hours. The temperature was maintained at ambient level for 16 hours and then raised to 120 degrees F and maintained for 8 hours. The same procedure was repeated for a pressure level of 16.5 psia.

CRITICAL ITEM LIST

PROJECT: EMU

ASSEMBLY Nomenclature: SUMMING MODULE

REVISION	NAME, QTY., DRAWING REF. DESIGN	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT ON END ITEM	INSTR/FUNC 2/2	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
04-1				Criticality Qualification Tests:	Stabilization of the CCM.
04-2					Low Temperature: MIL-STD-810, Method 501, Procedure 1, applied. The temperature was lowered to 0 degrees F and maintained for a period of not less than 4 hours after stabilization.
04-3				VIBRATION:	
04-4				Vehicle Dynamics	
				Flight Axis (3-40 Hz @ 3 Oct/Hin) 3-7 Hz @ 0.52 Inch D.A. Disp 7-15 Hz @ 1.3g Peak 15-20 Hz @ 0.11 Inch D.A. Disp 20-40 Hz @ 2.3g Peak	
				Lateral Axis (2-20 Hz @ 3 Oct/Hin) 2-10 Hz @ 0.014g Peak 10-20 Hz @ 0.035g Peak	
				Stimulusat Evaluation (20-2000 Hz @ 1 Oct/Hin) 20-100 Hz @ 0.0012 Inch D.A. Disp. 130-2000 Hz @ 1.5g Peak	
				High Random (1 Hin/Axis - 3 Axis) 20-40 Hz @ +9 db/ct 40-60 Hz @ 0.1 g2/Hz 60-310 Hz @ -6 db/ct 310-750 Hz @ 0.004g2/Hz 750-1500 Hz @ -6 db/ct 1500-2000 Hz @ 0.001g2/Hz Composite = 3.4	

SYSTEM: CCA

ASSEMBLY P/N: 16647G

ASSEMBLY NOMENCLATURE: SUMMING MODULE

REVISION	NAME, QTY., DRAWING REF., DESIGN	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT ON END ITEM	INSTR/FUNC 2/2 CRITICALITY	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
04-1					<u>QUALIFICATION TESTS:</u>
04-2					Stabilization of the CCM.
04-3					Low Temperature: MIL-S10-810, Method 501, Procedure 1 applied. The temperature was lowered to 0 degrees F and maintained for a period of not less than 4 hours after stabilization.
04-4					<u>VIBRATION:</u>
					<u>Vehicle Dynamics</u>
					Flight Axis (3-40 Hz @ 3 Oct/Min) 3-7 Hz @ 0.52 Inch D.A. Disp 7-15 Hz @ 1.3g Peak 15-20 Hz @ 0.11 Inch D.A. Disp 20-40 Hz @ 2.3g Peak Lateral Axis (2-20 Hz @ 3 Oct/Min) 2-10 Hz @ 0.014g Peak 10-20 Hz @ 0.035g Peak Sinusoidal Evaluation (20-2000 Hz @ 1 Oct/Min) 20-100 Hz @ 0.0017 Inch D.A. Disp. 100-2000 Hz @ 1.5g Peak
					High Random (1 Min/Axis - 3 Axis) 20-40 Hz @ +9 db/oct 40-60 Hz @ 0.1 g ² /Hz 60-310 Hz @ -6 db/oct 310-750 Hz @ 0.004g ² /Hz 750-1500 Hz @ -6 db/oct 1500-2000 Hz @ 0.001g ² /Hz Composite = 3.4

CRITICAL ITEM LISTCCIR-511
Page 5 of 10

PROJECT: EMU

SYSTEM: CCA

ASSEMBLY Nomenclature: SUMMING MODULE

ASSEMBLY P/N: 16647G

REVISION	NAME, QTY., DRAWING REF. DESIGN	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT ON END ITEM	MIN/R/FUNC. 2/2 RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
04-1				CRITICALITY: QUALIFICATION TESTS: Low Random (4 Hz/Axis, 3 Axes) 20-40 Hz @ +9 db/Oct 40-60 Hz @ 0.025g2/Hz 60-310 Hz @ -6 db/Oct 310-750 Hz @ 0.003g2/Hz 750-1500 Hz @ -6 db/Oct 1500-2000 Hz @ 0.00025g2/Hz Composite = 1.7 g rms.
04-2				ENI: Test per SL-E-0002 A. CS01 - Limit 1.2 WHRS per Figure 2 of ICDS-HSU-4-0008-OC B. CS02 - Limit 0.22 WHRS C. CS06 - Limit 5IV per Figures 3 and 4 of ICDS-HSU-4-0008-OC D. RS03 Tests were also performed in accordance with CNI-NIL-1-26600/ENI 3IM.
04-3				OPERATIONAL TESTS: The following tests verify the microphone has not failed prior to going LVA.
04-4				KSC: On-orbitter MORSO V110J
				FLIGHT CHECKOUT: Pre-LVA checkout during a mission.

PROJECT: CCH

SYSTEM: CCA

ASSEMBLY Nomenclature: SUMMING HOOD

ASSEMBLY P/N: 166476

REVISION	NAME, QTY., DRAWING REF. DESIGN	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT ON END ITEM	HWRA/FUNC 2/2 CRITICALITY QA INSPECTION:	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
04-1				The CCEH is manufactured, assembled and tested to flight-approved JSC drawings and procedures. The drawings have been approved by Quality Engineering, Materials and Structures, and are maintained by the JSC Drawing Control Center. Quality controls are exercised throughout design procurement, planning, processing, fabrication, assembly qualification and acceptance testing. Mandatory inspection points are employed as appropriate at various levels of assembly and tests.	
04-2				Receiving inspection verifies that the parts and components received are as identified in the procurement documents, that no damage has occurred during shipment, and that appropriate data have been received which provides adequate traceability information and identifies acceptable parts.	
04-3					
04-4				Parts are inspected, as appropriate, throughout manufacture and assembly.	

PROJECT: ENU

SYSTEM: ECA

ASSEMBLY NOMENCLATURE: SUMMING MODEL

ASSEMBLY P/N: 166476

REVISION	NAME, QTY., DRAWING REF. DESIGN	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT ON END ITEM	IDM/FUNC 2/2 CRITICALITY	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
04-1				QA INSPECTION (Continued):	Pre-acceptance test inspection, which includes an inspection of the lower assemblies on completion, a verification of the as-built configuration to the design, etc. (mandatory inspection points).
04-2				FAILURE HISTORY:	None
04-3				OPERATIONAL EFFECTS:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">0 None during and EVA0 During a planned EVA mission, a spare ECA is available and can be used if failure is detected in the Pre-EVA phase of the mission.0 For an unplanned EVA, redundant is loss.
04-4					

FATIGUE FAULT LIST

Page 10 of 10

PROJECT:

ASSEMBLY NOMENCLATURE: SWIMMING MODULE

SYSTEM: CCA

ASSEMBLY P/N: 166476

REVISION	NAME, QTY., DRAWING REF. DESIGN	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT ON END ITEM	HOME/FUNC 2/2 CRITICALITY	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
04-1					
04-2					
04-3					
04-4					

TYPED SIGNATURE